1 CLAIMS

- 3 defining coverage criteria for testing a message
- 4 flow through a set of message flow elements;
- 5 determining a message-flow-coverage-goal for the
- 6 message flow with respect to the coverage criteria;
- 7 designing a test suite responsive to the message-
- 8 flow-coverage-goal;
- 9 applying the test suite to the message flow to
- 10 generate a coverage result for the set of message flow
- 11 elements; and
- comparing the coverage result with the message-flow-
- 13 coverage-goal.
 - 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the
 - message flow comprises a message-oriented software
 - program wherein a message is a primary architectural
- 4 element.
 - 3. A method according to claim 1, wherein the
- 2 message flow is comprised in a message-oriented
- 3 middleware application.
 - 1 4. A method according to claim 1, wherein the
 - 2 message flow comprises a visual program describing
 - 3 processing logic as a directed graph and wherein the
 - 4 message flow comprises an independent function, outside
- 5 of a message sender or message receiver.
- 1 5. A method according to claim 1, wherein the
- 2 message flow comprises a program processing a message
- 3 produced by an application chosen from one of a group of
- 4 applications comprising a client application and a server
- 5 application.
- 1 6. A method according to claim 5, wherein the

- 2 application comprises a message-sending-application and a
- 3 message-receiving-application executing on different
- 4 hardware platforms.
- 1 7. A method according to claim 6, wherein the
- 2 message-sending-application and the message-receiving-
- 3 application are implemented using different software and
- 4 architectural paradigms.
- 1 8. A method according to claim 1, wherein the
- 2 message flow elements comprise at least one element
- 3 chosen from messages, nodes, connections, terminals,
- 4 statements, conditions, external resources, and
- 5 exceptions.
- 1 9. A method according to claim 1, wherein defining
- 2 the coverage criteria comprises selecting a coverage
- 3 model from at least one of processing node coverage,
 - terminal coverage, connection coverage, path coverage, N-
- 5 node coverage, statement coverage, multiple condition
- 6 coverage, exception coverage, external resources
- coverage, and message content coverage.
- 1 10. A method according to claim 1, wherein
- 2 determining the message-flow-coverage-goal comprises
- 3 establishing a required coverage level for at least one
- 4 of the coverage criteria.
- 1 11. A method according to claim 1, wherein applying
- 2 the test suite to the message flow comprises:
- 3 performing an execution of at least a part of the
- 4 test suite; and
- 5 evaluating an attained coverage level resulting from
- 6 the execution.
- 1 12. A method according to claim 11, wherein
- 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
- 3 visiting one or more nodes during the execution of

2

3

4 5

- 4 the at least part of the test suite;
- 5 comparing a number of processing nodes visited to a
- 6 total number of all processing nodes in the message flow;
- 7 and
- 8 computing a processing node coverage metric
- 9 responsive to the comparison.
- 1 13. A method according to claim 12, wherein
- 2 visiting the one or more nodes comprises entering a
- 3 simple node.
- 1 14. A method according to claim 12, wherein
- 2 visiting the one or more nodes comprises entering a
- 3 compound node and visiting zero or more nodes in a
- 4 constituent sub-flow.
 - 15. A method according to claim 11, wherein evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
 - traversing one or more terminals during the execution of the at least part of the test suite;
 - comparing a number of processing node terminals traversed to a total number of processing node terminals in the message flow; and
- 8 computing a terminal coverage metric responsive to
- 9 the comparison.
- 1 16. A method according to claim 11, wherein
- 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
- 3 traversing one or more connections during the
- 4 execution of the at least part of the test suite;
- 5 comparing a number of connections traversed to a
- 6 total number of connections in the message flow; and
- 7 computing a connection coverage metric responsive to
- 8 the comparison.
- 1 17. A method according to claim 11, wherein
- 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:

- 3 selecting a group of one or more sets of N-nodes
- 4 from the message flow, wherein N comprises any whole
- 5 number less than or equal to a total number of nodes in
- 6 the message flow;
- 7 performing at least one execution of the message
- 8 flow so as to determine a number of nodes visited in each
- 9 of the one or more sets during the at least one
- 10 execution;
- 11 generating a respective set coverage result for each
- 12 of the one or more sets, responsive to the number of
- 13 nodes visited;
- 14 determining a number of covered-sets, responsive to
- 15 the set coverage results;
- f 16 comparing the number of covered-sets to a total
- 17 number of sets in the group; and
- 18 computing an N-node coverage metric responsive to
- 19 the comparison.
 - 18. A method according to claim 11, whereir
- 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
 - 3 performing zero or more runtime exceptions during
 - the execution of the at least part of the test suite;
 - 5 comparing a number of runtime exceptions performed
 - 6 to a total number of all runtime exceptions in the
 - 7 message flow; and
 - 8 computing an exception coverage metric responsive to
 - 9 the comparison.
 - 1 19. A method according to claim 11, wherein
 - 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
 - 3 visiting zero or more failure terminals during the
 - 4 execution of the at least part of the test suite;
 - 5 comparing a number of failure terminals visited to a
 - 6 total number of all failure terminals in the message
 - 7 flow; and

- 8 computing an exception coverage metric responsive to 9 the comparison.
- 1 20. A method according to claim 11, wherein 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
- 3 executing zero or more node statements at least once
- 4 during the execution of the at least part of the test
- 5 suite;
- 6 comparing a number of node statements executed to a
- 7 total number of node statements in the message flow; and
- 8 computing a statement coverage metric responsive to
- 9 the comparison.
- 1 21. A method according to claim 20, wherein
- 2 computing the statement coverage metric comprises
- 3 comparing a number of node statements executed in a
- 4 single node to a total number of node statements in the
 - single node.
- 1 22. A method according to claim 20, wherein
- 2 computing the statement coverage metric comprises
- 3 comparing a number of node statements executed in a
- 4 compound node's constituent sub-flows to a total number
- 5 of node statements in the compound node's constituent
- 6 sub-flows.
- 1 23. A method according to claim 11, wherein
- 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
- 3 assessing an achievement of true and false values
- 4 for each of zero or more boolean sub-expressions
- 5 independently during the execution of the at least part
- 6 of the test suite;
- 7 comparing the achievement for the one or more
- 8 boolean sub-expressions to a total number of boolean sub-
- 9 expression values possible in the message flow; and
- 10 computing a multiple condition coverage metric
- 11 responsive to the comparison.

- 12 24. A method according to claim 23, wherein
- 13 computing the multiple condition coverage metric
- 14 comprises comparing a number of achieved true and false
- 15 values for zero or more boolean sub-expressions in a node
- 16 to a total number of boolean sub-expression values
- 17 possible in the node.
- 1 25. A method according to claim 23, wherein
- 2 computing the multiple condition coverage metric
- 3 comprises comparing a number of achieved true and false
- 4 values for zero or more boolean sub-expressions in a
- 5 compound node's constituent sub-flows to a total number
- 6 of boolean sub-expression values possible in the compound
- 7 node's constituent sub-flows.
 - 26. A method according to claim 11, wherein evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
 - assessing a number of values assumed by each of one or more fields in a message during the execution of the at least part of the test suite;
 - comparing the number of values assumed to a total number of possible values for each field in the message; and
- 9 computing a message content coverage metric 10 responsive to the comparison.
- 27. A method according to claim 26, and comprising 1
- 2 computing a strong message content coverage metric
- wherein the total number of values possible for each 3
- field in the message comprises a cross product of the 4
- message fields with their possible values. 5
- 1 28. A method according to claim 26, and comprising
- computing a weak message content coverage metric wherein 2
- the total number of values possible for each field in the 3
- message comprises a sum of the number of possible values 4
- 5 for each message field independently.

- 1 29. A method according to claim 11, wherein
- 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
- 3 traversing one or more paths during the execution of
- 4 the at least part of the test suite;
- 5 comparing a number of paths traversed to a total
- 6 number of paths in the message flow; and
- 7 computing a path coverage metric responsive to the
- 8 comparison.
- 1 30. A method according to claim 11, wherein
- 2 evaluating the attained coverage level comprises:
- 3 performing zero or more types of accesses to an
- 4 external resource during the execution of the at least
- 5 part of the test suite;
- 6 comparing a number of types of accesses performed to
- 7 a total number of types of accesses in the message flow;
- 8 and
 - computing a external resources coverage metric
- 10 responsive to the comparison.
 - 1 31. A method according to claim 1, wherein
 - 2 designing the test suite responsive to the message-flow-
- 3 coverage-goal comprises:
- 4 identifying an initial test suite for the message
- 5 flow;
- 6 assessing a coverage level achieved by the initial
- 7 test suite; and
- 8 adding additional tests to the initial test suite so
- 9 as to increase the coverage level.
- 1 32. A method according to claim 1, wherein applying
- 2 the test suite comprises generating message-flow-
- 3 coverage-reports.
- 1 33. A method according to claim 29, and comprising
- 2 integrating the message-flow-coverage-reports into a

1

8

9

10

- 3 visual message flow development environment.
- 1 34. A method according to claim 1, and comprising
- 2 reporting coverage graphically using at
- 3 graphical element chosen from a set of color, shading,
- 4 highlighting, graphing, fonts, line styles, icons, and
- 5 labels.
- 1 35. A method according to claim 1, and comprising
- 2 reporting coverage via at least one medium chosen from
- 3 hard-copy media and electronic media.
- 1 36. Α method according to claim 1, wherein
- 2 generating the coverage result comprises collecting
 - coverage data using at least one method of
 - collection chosen from message flow instrumentation and
- 5 data logging.
 - Apparatus for assessing adequacy of message flow testing, comprising a computer system which is adapted to define coverage criteria for testing a message flow through a set of message flow elements, determine a message-flow-coverage-goal for the message flow with respect to the coverage criteria, design a test suite responsive to the message-flow-coverage-goal, apply the test suite to the message flow to generate a coverage result for the set of message flow elements, and compare the coverage result with the message-flow-coverage-goal.
- 1 38. A computer software product for assessing
- 2 adequacy of message flow testing, comprising a computer-
- 3 readable medium having computer program instructions
 - recorded therein, which instructions, when read by a
- 5 computer, cause the computer to define coverage criteria
- for testing a message flow through a set of message flow 6
- 7 elements, determine a message-flow-coverage-goal for the
- 8 message flow with respect to the coverage criteria,

- 9 design a test suite responsive to the message-flow-
- 10 coverage-goal, apply the test suite to the message flow
- 11 to generate a coverage result for the set of message flow
- 12 elements, and compare the coverage result with the
- 13 message-flow-coverage-goal.